# **Convex Optimization for Signal Processing** and Communications: From Fundamentals to **Applications**

### **Chong-Yung Chi**

Institute of Communications Engineering & Department of Electrical Engineering National Tsing Hua University, Taiwan 30013 E-mail: cychi@ee.nthu.edu.tw Web: http://www.ee.nthu.edu.tw/cychi/

Invited tutorial talk at Aalborg University, Denmark, 2018/9/20

Acknowledgment: My post doctor, **Dr. Chia-Hsiang Lin** for preparing slides of Part II. My Ph.D. student, **Yao-Rong Syu** for some slides preparation.





### **1** Part I: Fundamentals of Convex Optimization

- Part II: Application in Hyperspectral Image Analysis: (Big Data Analysis and Machine Learning)
- **3** Part III: Application in Wireless Communications (5G Systems)
  - Subsection I: Outage Constrained Robust Transmit Optimization for Multiuser MISO Downlinks
  - Subsection II: Outage Constrained Robust Hybrid Coordinated Beamforming for Massive MIMO Enabled Heterogeneous Cellular Networks

### • Optimization problem:

 $\begin{array}{ll} \text{minimize} & f(\mathbf{x}) \\ \text{subject to} & \mathbf{x} \in \mathcal{C} \end{array} \tag{1}$ 

where  $f(\mathbf{x})$  is the objective function to be minimized and  $\mathcal{C}$  is the feasible set from which we try to find an optimal solution. Let

 $\mathbf{x}^{\star} = \arg\min_{\mathbf{x}\in\mathcal{C}} f(\mathbf{x}) \quad \text{(optimal solution or global minimizer)} \tag{2}$ 

### • Challenges in applications:

• Local optima; large problem size; decision variable x involving real and/or complex vectors, matrices; feasible set C involving generalized inequalities, etc.

• Computational complexity: NP-hard; polynomial-time solvable.

• Performance analysis: Performance insights, properties, perspectives, proofs (e.g., identifiability and convergence), limits and bounds.

• Affine (convex) combination: Provided that C is a nonempty set,

$$\mathbf{x} = \theta_1 \mathbf{x}_1 + \dots + \theta_K \mathbf{x}_K, \ \mathbf{x}_i \in C \ \forall i$$
(3)

is called an *affine (a convex) combination* of  $\mathbf{x}_1, \ldots, \mathbf{x}_K$  (*K* vectors or points of a set) if  $\sum_{i=1}^{K} \theta_i = 1, \ \theta_i \in \mathbb{R}$  ( $\theta_i \in \mathbb{R}_+$ ),  $K \in \mathbb{Z}_{++}$ .

• Affine (convex) set:

• *C* is an *affine (a convex) set* if *C* is closed under the operation of *affine (convex) combination*;

- an affine set is constructed by *lines*;
- a convex set is constructed by *line segments*.

### • Conic set:

- If  $\theta \mathbf{x} \in C$  for any  $\theta \in \mathbb{R}_+$  and any  $\mathbf{x} \in C$ , then the set C is a *cone* and it is constructed by *rays starting from the origin*;
- the linear combination (3) is called a *conic combination* if  $\theta_i \ge 0 \ \forall i$ ;

# Convex Set Examples



• Left plot: An ellipsoid with semiaxes  $\sqrt{\lambda_1}, \sqrt{\lambda_2}$ , and an Euclidean ball with radius  $r > \max\{\sqrt{\lambda_1}, \sqrt{\lambda_2}\}$  in  $\mathbb{R}^2$ ; right plot: Second-order cone in  $\mathbb{R}^3$ .

Let A = {a<sub>1</sub>,..., a<sub>N</sub>} ⊂ ℝ<sup>M</sup>. Affine hull of A (the smallest affine set containing A) is defined as

$$\begin{aligned} & \operatorname{aff} \mathcal{A} \triangleq \left\{ \mathbf{x} = \sum_{i=1}^{N} \theta_i \mathbf{a}_i \mid \sum_{i=1}^{N} \theta_i = 1, \ \theta_i \in \mathbb{R} \ \forall i \right\} \\ & = \left\{ \mathbf{x} = \mathbf{C} \boldsymbol{\alpha} + \mathbf{d} \mid \boldsymbol{\alpha} \in \mathbb{R}^p \right\} \ \text{(affine set representation)} \end{aligned}$$

where  $\mathbf{C} \in \mathbb{R}^{M imes p}$  is of full column rank,  $\mathbf{d} \in \operatorname{aff} \mathcal{A}$ , and

affdim  $\mathcal{A} = p \leq \min\{N - 1, M\}.$ 

•  $\mathcal{A}$  is affinely independent  $(\mathcal{A}.\mathcal{I}.)$  with affdim  $\mathcal{A} = N - 1$  if the set  $\{\mathbf{a} - \mathbf{a}_i \mid \mathbf{a} \in \mathcal{A}, \mathbf{a} \neq \mathbf{a}_i\}$  is linearly independent for any *i*; moreover,

aff  $\mathcal{A} = \{\mathbf{x} \mid \mathbf{b}^T \mathbf{x} = h\} \triangleq \mathcal{H}(\mathbf{b}, h) \text{ (when } M = N)$ 

is a hyperplane, where  $(\mathbf{b}, h)$  can be determined from  $\mathcal{A}$  (closed-form expressions available).



Figure 1: An illustration in  $\mathbb{R}^3$ , where **conv**{ $\mathbf{a}_1, \mathbf{a}_2, \mathbf{a}_3$ } is a simplex defined by the shaded triangle, and **conv**{ $\mathbf{a}_1, \mathbf{a}_2, \mathbf{a}_3, \mathbf{a}_4$ } is a simplex (and also a simplest simplex) defined by the tetrahedron with the four extreme points { $\mathbf{a}_1, \mathbf{a}_2, \mathbf{a}_3, \mathbf{a}_4$ }.

Let A = {a<sub>1</sub>,..., a<sub>N</sub>} ⊂ ℝ<sup>M</sup>. Convex hull of A (the smallest convex set containing A) is defined as

$$\mathsf{conv}\ \mathcal{A} = \bigg\{ \mathbf{x} = \sum_{i=1}^{N} \theta_i \mathbf{a}_i \mid \sum_{i=1}^{N} \theta_i = 1, \ \theta_i \in \mathbb{R}_+ \ \forall i \bigg\} \subset \mathrm{aff}\ \mathcal{A}$$

• conv  $\mathcal{A}$  is called a *simplex* (a polytope with N vertices) if  $\mathcal{A}$  is  $\mathcal{A.I.}$ 

• When  $\mathcal{A}$  is  $\mathcal{A.I.}$  and M = N - 1, conv  $\mathcal{A}$  is called a <u>simplest simplex</u> of N vertices (i.e.,  $\mathbf{a}_1, \ldots, \mathbf{a}_N$ );

aff  $(\mathcal{A} \setminus {\mathbf{a}_i}) = \mathcal{H}(\mathbf{b}_i, h_i), \ i \in \mathcal{I}_N = {1, \dots, N}$ 

is a hyperplane, where the N boundary hyperplane parameters  $\{(\mathbf{b}_i, h_i), i = 1, \dots, N\}$  can be uniquely determined from  $\mathcal{A}$  (closed-form expressions available) and vice versa.

# Convex Set Examples



• Left plot: conic C (called the *conic hull of* C) is a *convex cone* formed by  $C = {\mathbf{x}_1, \mathbf{x}_2}$  via conic combinations, i.e., *the smallest conic set that contains* C; right plot: conic C formed by another set C (star).



Left plot: y<sub>1</sub>+y<sub>2</sub> ∉ B, implying that B is not a convex set; right plot: f(x) is a convex function (by (4)).

• Convex function: f is convex if dom f (the domain of f) is a convex set, and for all  $\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{y} \in \mathbf{dom} f$ ,

$$f(\theta \mathbf{x} + (1 - \theta)\mathbf{y}) \le \theta f(\mathbf{x}) + (1 - \theta)f(\mathbf{y}), \ \forall \ 0 \le \theta \le 1.$$
(4)

• f is *concave* if -f is convex.

#### Some Examples of Convex Functions

- An affine function  $f(\mathbf{x}) = \mathbf{a}^T \mathbf{x} + b$  is both convex and concave on  $\mathbb{R}^n$ .
- $f(\mathbf{x}) = \mathbf{x}^T \mathbf{P} \mathbf{x} + 2\mathbf{q}^T \mathbf{x} + r$ , where  $\mathbf{P} \in \mathbb{S}^n$ ,  $\mathbf{q} \in \mathbb{R}^n$  and  $r \in \mathbb{R}$  is convex if and only if  $\mathbf{P} \in \mathbb{S}^n_+$ .
- Every norm on  $\mathbb{R}^n$  (e.g.,  $\|\cdot\|_p$  for  $p \ge 1$ ) is convex.
- Linear function f(X) = Tr(AX) (where Tr(V) denotes the trace of a square matrix V) is both convex and concave on R<sup>n×n</sup>.
- $f(\mathbf{X}) = -\log \det(\mathbf{X})$  is convex on  $\mathbb{S}^n_{++}$ .

### First-order Condition

Suppose that f is differentiable. f is a convex function if and only if dom f is a convex set and

$$f(\mathbf{y}) \ge f(\mathbf{x}) + \nabla f(\mathbf{x})^T (\mathbf{y} - \mathbf{x}) \quad \forall \mathbf{x}, \mathbf{y} \in \mathbf{dom} \ f.$$
(5)

#### Second-order Condition

Suppose that f is twice differentiable. f is a convex function if and only if  ${\bf dom}\;f$  is a convex set and

$$\nabla^2 f(\mathbf{x}) \succeq \mathbf{0}$$
 (positive-semidefinite),  $\forall \mathbf{x} \in \mathbf{dom} \ f.$  (6)

#### Epigraph

The *epigraph* of a function  $f : \mathbb{R}^n \to \mathbb{R}$  is defined as

epi 
$$f = \{(\mathbf{x}, t) \mid \mathbf{x} \in \mathbf{dom} \ f, \ f(\mathbf{x}) \le t\} \subseteq \mathbb{R}^{n+1}.$$
 (7)

A function f is convex if and only if epi f is a convex set.

# First-order Condition and Epigraph



Left plot: first-order condition for a convex function f for the one-dimensional case: f(b) ≥ f(a) + f'(a)(b - a), for all a, b ∈ dom f; right plot: the epigraph of a convex function f : ℝ → ℝ.

### **Convexity Preserving Operations**

- Intersection,  $\bigcap_i C_i$  of convex sets  $C_i$  is a convex set; Nonnegative weighted sum,  $\sum_i \theta_i f_i$  (where  $\theta_i \ge 0$ ) of convex functions  $f_i$  is a convex function.
- Image, h(C) = {h(x) | x ∈ C}}, of a convex set C via affine mapping h(x) ≜ Ax + b, is a convex set;
   Composition f(h(x)) of a convex function f with affine mapping h is a convex function;
- Image, p(C), of a convex set C via perspective mapping  $p(\mathbf{x},t) \triangleq \mathbf{x}/t$  is a convex set;

Perspective,  $g(\mathbf{x},t) = tf(\mathbf{x}/t)$  (where t > 0) of a convex function f is a convex function.

# Perspective Mapping & Perspective of a Function



• Left plot: pinhole camera interpretation of the perspective mapping p(x,t) = x/t, t > 0;right plot: epigraph of the perspective g(x,t) = tf(x/t), t > 0 of  $f(x) = x^2$ , where each ray is associated with  $g(at,t) = a^2t$  for a different value of a.

# **Convex optimization problem**

• Convex problem:

(CVXP) 
$$p^* = \min_{\mathbf{x} \in \mathcal{C}} f(\mathbf{x})$$
 (8)

is a convex problem if the objective function  $f(\cdot)$  is a convex function and C is a convex set (called the feasible set) in standard form as follows:

$$\mathcal{C} = \{ \mathbf{x} \in \mathcal{D} \mid f_i(\mathbf{x}) \le 0, h_j(\mathbf{x}) = 0, i = 1, \dots, m, j = 1, \dots, p \},\$$

where  $f_i(\mathbf{x})$  is convex for all *i* and  $h_j(\mathbf{x})$  is affine for all *j* and

$$\mathcal{D} = \operatorname{\mathbf{dom}} f \cap \left\{ \bigcap_{i=1}^m \operatorname{\mathbf{dom}} f_i \right\} \bigcap \left\{ \bigcap_{i=1}^p \operatorname{\mathbf{dom}} h_i \right\}$$

is called the problem domain.

### • Advantages:

• *Global optimality*:  $x^*$  can be obtained by closed-form solution, analytically (algorithm), or numerically by convex solvers (e.g., CVX and SeDuMi).

- Computational complexity: Polynomial-time solvable.
- Performance analysis: KKT conditions are the backbone for analysis.

# Global optimality and solution

An optimality criterion: Any suboptimal solution to CVXP (8) is globally optimal. Assume that f is differentiable. Then a point x<sup>\*</sup> ∈ C is optimal if and only if

$$\nabla f(\mathbf{x}^{\star})^{T}(\mathbf{x} - \mathbf{x}^{\star}) \ge 0, \ \forall \mathbf{x} \in \mathcal{C}$$
(9)



(where int  $C \neq \emptyset$  is assumed)

# Global optimality and solution

- Besides the optimality criterion (9), a complementary approach for solving CVXP (8) is founded on the "duality theory".
  - Dual problem:

$$\mathcal{L}(\mathbf{x}, \boldsymbol{\lambda}, \boldsymbol{\nu}) \triangleq f(\mathbf{x}) + \sum_{i=1}^{m} \lambda_i f_i(\mathbf{x}) + \sum_{i=1}^{p} \nu_i h_i(\mathbf{x}) \quad \text{(Lagrangian)}$$

$$g(\boldsymbol{\lambda}, \boldsymbol{\nu}) = \inf_{\mathbf{x} \in \mathcal{D}} \mathcal{L}(\mathbf{x}, \boldsymbol{\lambda}, \boldsymbol{\nu}) > -\infty \quad \text{(dual function)}$$

$$d^* = \max \{ g(\boldsymbol{\lambda}, \boldsymbol{\nu}) \mid \boldsymbol{\lambda} \succeq \mathbf{0}, \boldsymbol{\nu} \in \mathbb{R}^p \} \quad \text{(dual problem)}$$

$$\leq p^* = \min \{ f(\mathbf{x}) \mid \mathbf{x} \in \mathcal{C} \} \quad \text{(primal problem CVXP (8))}$$
(10)

where  $\lambda = (\lambda_1, \ldots, \lambda_m)$  and  $\nu = (\nu_1, \ldots, \nu_p)$  are dual variables; " $\succeq$ " stands for an abbreviated generalized inequality defined by the proper cone  $K = \mathbb{R}^m_+$ , i.e., the first orthant, (a closed convex solid and pointed cone), i.e.,  $\lambda \succeq_K \mathbf{0} \Leftrightarrow \lambda \in K$ .

CVXP (8) and its dual can be solved simultaneously by solving the so-called *KKT conditions*.

### • KKT conditions:

Suppose that  $f, f_1, \ldots, f_m, h_1, \ldots, h_p$  are differentiable and  $\mathbf{x}^*$  is primal optimal and  $(\boldsymbol{\lambda}^*, \boldsymbol{\nu}^*)$  is dual optimal to CVXP (8). Under *strong duality*, i.e.,

$$p^{\star} = d^{\star} = \mathcal{L}(\mathbf{x}^{\star}, \boldsymbol{\lambda}^{\star}, \boldsymbol{\nu}^{\star})$$

(which holds true under *Slater's condition: a strictly feasible point exists, i.e.,* relint  $C \neq \emptyset$ ), the KKT conditions for solving  $\mathbf{x}^*$  and  $(\boldsymbol{\lambda}^*, \boldsymbol{\nu}^*)$  are as follows:

$$\nabla_{\mathbf{x}} \mathcal{L}(\mathbf{x}^{\star}, \boldsymbol{\lambda}^{\star}, \boldsymbol{\nu}^{\star}) = \mathbf{0}, \tag{11a}$$

$$f_i(\mathbf{x}^*) \le 0, \ i = 1, \dots, m,$$
 (11b)

$$h_i(\mathbf{x}^{\star}) = 0, \ i = 1, \dots, p,$$
 (11c)

$$\lambda_i^* \ge 0, \ i = 1, \dots, m, \tag{11d}$$

 $\lambda_i^{\star} f_i(\mathbf{x}^{\star}) = 0, \ i = 1, \dots, m.$  (complementary slackness) (11e)

The above KKT conditions (11) and the optimality criterion (9) are equivalent under Slater's condition.



• Lagrangian  $\mathcal{L}(x, \lambda)$ , dual function  $g(\lambda)$ , and primal-dual optimal solution  $(x^*, \lambda^*) = (1, 1)$  of the convex problem  $\min\{f_0(x) = x^2 \mid (x - 2)^2 \le 1\}$  with strong duality. Note that  $f_0(x^*) = g(\lambda^*) = \mathcal{L}(x^*, \lambda^*) = 1$ .

### Linear Programming (LP) - Inequality Form

min 
$$\mathbf{c}^T \mathbf{x}$$
 (12)  
s.t.  $\mathbf{G} \mathbf{x} \leq \mathbf{h}$ , ( $\leq$  stands for componentwise inequality)  
 $\mathbf{A} \mathbf{x} = \mathbf{b}$ ,

where  $\mathbf{c} \in \mathbb{R}^n$ ,  $\mathbf{A} \in \mathbb{R}^{p \times n}$ ,  $\mathbf{b} \in \mathbb{R}^p$ ,  $\mathbf{G} \in \mathbb{R}^{m \times n}$ ,  $\mathbf{h} \in \mathbb{R}^m$ , and  $\mathbf{x} \in \mathbb{R}^n$  is the unknown vector variable.

### LP- Standard Form

$$\begin{array}{l} \min \ \mathbf{c}^T \mathbf{x} & (13) \\ \text{s.t. } \mathbf{x} \succeq \mathbf{0}, \\ \mathbf{A} \mathbf{x} = \mathbf{b}, \end{array}$$

where  $\mathbf{c} \in \mathbb{R}^n$ ,  $\mathbf{A} \in \mathbb{R}^{p \times n}$ ,  $\mathbf{b} \in \mathbb{R}^p$ , and  $\mathbf{x} \in \mathbb{R}^n$  is the unknown vector variable.

# Standard Convex Optimization Problems (Cont'd)

Quadratic Programming (QP): Convex if and only if  $\mathbf{P}\succeq \mathbf{0}$  (i.e.,  $\mathbf{P}$  is positive semidefinite)

min 
$$\frac{1}{2}\mathbf{x}^T \mathbf{P} \mathbf{x} + \mathbf{q}^T \mathbf{x} + r$$
 (14)  
s.t.  $\mathbf{A} \mathbf{x} = \mathbf{b}, \ \mathbf{G} \mathbf{x} \preceq \mathbf{h},$ 

where  $\mathbf{P} \in \mathbb{S}^n$ ,  $\mathbf{G} \in \mathbb{R}^{m \times n}$ , and  $\mathbf{A} \in \mathbb{R}^{p \times n}$ .

Quadratically constrained QP (QCQP): Convex if and only if  $\mathbf{P}_i \succeq \mathbf{0}, \ \forall i$ 

min 
$$\frac{1}{2}\mathbf{x}^{T}\mathbf{P}_{0}\mathbf{x} + \mathbf{q}_{0}^{T}\mathbf{x} + r_{0}$$
 (15)  
s.t.  $\frac{1}{2}\mathbf{x}^{T}\mathbf{P}_{i}\mathbf{x} + \mathbf{q}_{i}^{T}\mathbf{x} + r_{i} \leq 0, \ i = 1, \dots, m,$   
 $\mathbf{A}\mathbf{x} = \mathbf{b},$ 

where  $\mathbf{P}_i \in \mathbb{S}^n$ ,  $i = 0, 1, \dots, m$ , and  $\mathbf{A} \in \mathbb{R}^{p \times n}$ .

# Standard Convex Optimization Problems (Cont'd)

### Second-order cone programming (SOCP)

min 
$$\mathbf{c}^T \mathbf{x}$$
 (16)  
s.t.  $\|\mathbf{A}_i \mathbf{x} + \mathbf{b}_i\|_2 \le \mathbf{f}_i^T \mathbf{x} + d_i, \ i = 1, \dots, m,$   
 $\mathbf{F} \mathbf{x} = \mathbf{g},$ 

where  $\mathbf{A}_i \in \mathbb{R}^{n_i \times n}$ ,  $\mathbf{b}_i \in \mathbb{R}^{n_i}$ ,  $\mathbf{f}_i \in \mathbb{R}^n$ ,  $d_i \in \mathbb{R}$ ,  $\mathbf{F} \in \mathbb{R}^{p \times n}$ ,  $\mathbf{g} \in \mathbb{R}^p$ ,  $\mathbf{c} \in \mathbb{R}^n$ , and  $\mathbf{x} \in \mathbb{R}^n$  is the vector variable.

#### Semidefinite programming (SDP) - Standard Form

min Tr(**CX**) s.t.  $\mathbf{X} \succeq \mathbf{0}$ , Tr( $\mathbf{A}_i \mathbf{X}$ ) =  $b_i$ ,  $i = 1, \dots, p$ ,

with variable  $\mathbf{X} \in \mathbb{S}^n$ , where  $\mathbf{A}_i \in \mathbb{S}^n$ ,  $\mathbf{C} \in \mathbb{S}^n$ , and  $b_i \in \mathbb{R}$ .

(17)

• Consider the following convex optimization problem:

$$\min_{\mathbf{x} \in \mathbb{R}^{n}, \mathbf{z} \in \mathbb{R}^{m}} f_{1}(\mathbf{x}) + f_{2}(\mathbf{z})$$
s.t.  $\mathbf{x} \in S_{1}, \ \mathbf{z} \in S_{2}$ 

$$\mathbf{z} = \mathbf{A}\mathbf{x}$$
(18)

where  $f_1: \mathbb{R}^n \mapsto \mathbb{R}$  and  $f_2: \mathbb{R}^m \mapsto \mathbb{R}$  are convex functions,  $\mathbf{A}$  is an  $m \times n$  matrix, and  $\mathcal{S}_1 \subset \mathbb{R}^n$  and  $\mathcal{S}_2 \subset \mathbb{R}^m$  are nonempty convex sets.

• The considered dual problem of (18) is given by

$$\max_{\boldsymbol{\nu} \in \mathbb{R}^{m}} \min_{\mathbf{x} \in \mathcal{S}_{1}, \mathbf{z} \in \mathcal{S}_{2}} \left\{ f_{1}(\mathbf{x}) + f_{2}(\mathbf{z}) + \frac{c}{2} \| \mathbf{A}\mathbf{x} - \mathbf{z} \|_{2}^{2} + \boldsymbol{\nu}^{T} (\mathbf{A}\mathbf{x} - \mathbf{z}) \right\},$$
(19)

where c is a penalty parameter, and  $\nu$  is the dual variable associated with the equality constraint in (18).

# ADMM (Cont'd)

• Inner minimization (convex problems):

$$\mathbf{z}(q+1) = \arg\min_{\mathbf{z}\in\mathcal{S}_2} \left\{ f_2(\mathbf{z}) - \boldsymbol{\nu}(q)^T \mathbf{z} + \frac{c}{2} \|\mathbf{A}\mathbf{x}(q) - \mathbf{z}\|_2^2 \right\},$$
(20a)

$$\mathbf{x}(q+1) = \arg\min_{\mathbf{x}\in\mathcal{S}_1} \left\{ f_1(\mathbf{x}) + \boldsymbol{\nu}(q)^T \mathbf{A}\mathbf{x} + \frac{c}{2} \|\mathbf{A}\mathbf{x} - \mathbf{z}(q+1)\|_2^2 \right\}.$$
 (20b)

### ADMM Algorithm

- 1: Set q = 0, choose c > 0.
- 2: Initialize  $\nu(q)$  and  $\mathbf{x}(q)$ .
- 3: repeat
- 4: Solve (20a) and (20b) for z(q + 1) and x(q + 1) by two distributed equipments including *the information exchange of* z(q + 1) *and* x(q + 1) *between them;*

5: 
$$\nu(q+1) = \nu(q) + c (\mathbf{Ax}(q+1) - \mathbf{z}(q+1));$$

6: 
$$q := q + 1;$$

- 7: until the predefined stopping criterion is satisfied.
- When  $S_1$  is bounded or  $\mathbf{A}^T \mathbf{A}$  is invertible, ADMM is guaranteed to converge and the obtained  $\{\mathbf{x}(q), \mathbf{z}(q)\}$  is an optimal solution of problem (18).

- Reformulation into a convex problem: Equivalent representations (e.g. epigraph representations); function transformation; change of variables, etc.
- Stationary-point solutions: Provided that C is closed and convex but f is nonconvex, a point x\* is a stationary point of the nonconvex problem (1) if

$$f'(\mathbf{x}^{\star}; \mathbf{v}) \triangleq \liminf_{\lambda \downarrow 0} \frac{f(\mathbf{x}^{\star} + \lambda \mathbf{v}) - f(\mathbf{x}^{\star})}{\lambda} \ge 0 \quad \forall \mathbf{x}^{\star} + \mathbf{v} \in \mathcal{C}$$
(21)

 $\Leftrightarrow \ \nabla f(\mathbf{x}^{\star})^{T}(\mathbf{x} - \mathbf{x}^{\star}) \geq 0 \quad \forall \mathbf{x} \in \mathcal{C} \ \text{(when } f \text{ is differentiable)}$ 

where  $f'(\mathbf{x}^*; \mathbf{v})$  is the *directional derivative* of f at a point  $\mathbf{x}^*$  in direction  $\mathbf{v}$ . Block successive upper bound minimization (BSUM) [Razaviyayn'13] guarantees a stationary-point solution under some convergence conditions.

• KKT points (i.e., solutions of KKT conditions) are also stationary points provided that the Slater's condition is satisfied.

<sup>[</sup>Razaviyayn'13] M. Razaviyayn, M. Hong, and Z.-Q. Luo, "A unified convergence analysis of block successive minimization methods for nonsmooth optimization," *SIAM J. Optimiz.*, vol. 23, no. 2, pp. 11261153, 2013.

# Stationary points and BSUM

• An illustration of stationary points of problem (1) for a nonconvex f and convex C; convergence to a stationary point of (1) by BSUM.



# Stationary points for nonconvex feasible set

• An illustration of stationary points of problem (1) when both f and C are nonconvex. If  $y_1, y_2, y_3$  are stationary points of  $\min_{\mathbf{x}\in C} f(\mathbf{x})$  where  $C \subset C$  is convex, then conic  $(C - \{y_i\}) = \{\theta \mathbf{v} \mid \mathbf{v} \in C - \{y_i\}, \theta \ge 0\}$  and

 $\mathcal{C} - \{\boldsymbol{y}_i\} \triangleq \{\mathbf{v} = \mathbf{x} - \{\boldsymbol{y}_i\} \mid \mathbf{x} \in \mathcal{C}\} \subset \operatorname{conic} (C - \{\boldsymbol{y}_i\}), \ i = 1, 2$  $\implies \boldsymbol{y}_1, \boldsymbol{y}_2 \text{ are also stationary points of (1).}$ 



### Nonconvex problem

- Approximate solutions to problem (1) when f is convex but C is nonconvex:
  - Convex restriction to C: Successive convex approximation (SCA)

$$\boldsymbol{x}_{i}^{\star} = \arg\min_{\boldsymbol{\mathbf{x}}\in C_{i}} f(\boldsymbol{\mathbf{x}}) \in C_{i+1}$$
(22)

where  $C_i \subset C$  is convex for all *i*. Then

$$f(\boldsymbol{x}_{i+1}^{\star}) = \min_{\boldsymbol{\mathbf{x}} \in C_{i+1}} f(\boldsymbol{\mathbf{x}}) \le f(\boldsymbol{x}_{i}^{\star})$$
(23)

After convergence, an approximate solution  $x_i^{\star}$  is obtained.

• Convex relaxation to C (e.g., semidefinite relaxation (SDR)):

$$\mathcal{C}' = \{ \mathbf{X} \in \mathbb{S}^n_+ \mid \operatorname{rank}(\mathbf{X}) = 1 \} \subset \mathcal{C} \text{ relaxed to conv } \mathcal{C}' = \mathbb{S}^n_+ \text{ (SDR)}; \\ \mathcal{C}' = \{-3, -1, +1, +3\} \subset \mathcal{C} \text{ relaxed to conv } \mathcal{C}' = [-3, 3]$$
 (24)

The obtained  $X^*$  or  $x^*$  may not be feasible to problem (1); for SDR, a good approximate solution can be obtained from  $X^*$  via *Gaussian randomization*.

# Successive Convex Approximation (SCA)

Illustration of SCA for (1) when f is convex but C is nonconvex, yielding a solution x<sup>\*</sup><sub>i</sub> (which is a stationary point under some mild condition).



### Algorithm development

• Foundamental theory and tools: Calculus, linear algebra, matrix analysis and computations, convex sets, convex functions, convex problems (e.g., geometric program (GP), LP, QP, SOCP, SDP), duality, interior-point method; CVX and SeDuMi.



### A new book

Convex Optimization for Signal Processing and Communications: From Fundamentals to Applications Chong-Yung Chi, Wei-Chiang Li, Chia-Hsiang Lin (Publisher: CRC Press, 2017, 432 pages, ISBN: 9781498776455)

**Motivation:** Most of mathematical books are hard to read for engineering students and professionals due to *lack of enough fundamental details and tangible linkage* between mathematical theory and applications.

- The book is written in a *causally sequential fashion*; namely, one can *review/peruse the related materials introduced in early chapters/sections again,* to overpass hurdles in reading.
- Covers convex optimization from fundamentals to advanced applications, while holding a strong link from theory to applications.
- *Provides comprehensive proofs and perspective interpretations*, many insightful figures, examples and remarks to illuminate core convex optimization theory.

### **Book features**



- Illustrates, by cutting-edge applications, how to apply the convex optimization theory, like a guided journey/exploration rather than pure mathematics.
- Has been used for a 2-week short course under the book title at 9 major universities (Shandong Univ, Tsinghua Univ, Tianjin Univ, BJTU, Xiamen Univ., UESTC, SYSU, BUPT, SDNU) in Mainland China more than 17 times since early 2010.

### Thank you for your attention!

Acknowledgment: Financial support by NTHU; my students, visiting students and scholars, short-course participants for almost uncountable questions, interactions and comments over the last decade.